

Interrogating the microbiome for improved understanding of Pacific oyster diseases

William L King

A thesis submitted in fulfillment of the requirements
for the degree: Doctor of Philosophy

December 2019

University of Technology Sydney
School of Life Sciences
Climate Change Cluster

2019

Certificate of Original Authorship

I, William King, declare that this thesis is submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the award of Doctor of Philosophy, in the Faculty of Science at the University of Technology Sydney.

This thesis is wholly my own work unless otherwise referenced or acknowledged. In addition, I certify that all information sources and literature used are indicated in the thesis.

This document has not been submitted for qualifications at any other academic institution.

This research is supported by the Australian Government Research Training Program.

Production Note:

Signature: Signature removed prior to publication.

Date: 16/09/19

Table of contents

Acknowledgments.....	<i>ix</i>
Publications associated with this thesis.....	<i>xii</i>
Conference presentations associated with this thesis.....	<i>xiii</i>
List of figures	<i>xv</i>
List of tables	<i>xviii</i>
Abbreviations.....	<i>xxi</i>
Abstract	1
Thesis prelude – rationale, significance and aims	2
Chapter One - Oyster disease in a changing environment: Decrypting the link between pathogen, microbiome and environment.....	5
Chapter One - Declaration	6
1.1 Abstract.....	7
1.2 Introduction	7
1.3 The oyster life cycle, anatomy and distribution	10
1.4 Oyster immunology	15
1.5 Diseases affecting oysters of economic importance.....	18
1.5.1 Parasitic aetiological agents.....	21
1.5.1.1 Disease process of parasites.....	21
1.5.1.2 Environmental reservoirs and transmission of infectious parasites	25
1.5.1.3 Management strategies of parasitic diseases	29
1.5.2 Bacterial aetiological agents	30
1.5.2.1 Disease process of bacterial pathogens	30

1.5.2.2 Environmental reservoirs and transmission of bacterial pathogens.....	34
1.5.2.3 Management strategies for bacterial pathogens	35
1.5.3 Viral aetiological agents.....	36
1.5.4 Unknown aetiological agents.....	37
1.6 The role of the environment in facilitating disease outbreaks	39
1.6.1 Temperature	43
1.6.2 Salinity.....	44
1.6.3 Dissolved oxygen and pH.....	46
1.6.4 Nutrients	47
1.6.5 Translocation	48
1.7 The relationship between the oyster microbiome and disease	48
1.7.1 Oyster microbiome - future directions and challenges.....	52
1.8 Conclusions	54
1.9 Acknowledgments.....	56
Chapter Two - Characterisation of the Pacific oyster microbiome during a summer mortality event	57
Chapter Two - Declaration	58
2.1 Abstract.....	59
2.2 Introduction	59
2.3 Methods.....	62
2.3.1 Oyster study sites and cultivation.....	62
2.3.2 Oyster sample processing and DNA extraction	67
2.3.3 Data analysis.....	68
2.3.4 Statistical analyses	68
2.4 Results	69

2.4.1 Sequence read depth and rarification.....	69
2.4.2 Replicates of <i>C. gigas</i> microbiomes show large within-site heterogeneity	69
2.4.3 <i>C. gigas</i> microbiomes from Port Stephens mortality event separate both spatially and in accordance with disease state.....	72
2.4.4 Cromartys Bay <i>C. gigas</i> microbiomes shift in accordance with disease state	75
2.5 Discussion.....	80
2.5.1 Oyster microbiomes have large within-site heterogeneity	80
2.5.2 Oyster microbiomes are influenced by spatial location.....	81
2.5.3 Within site comparison of microbiomes between disease states	81
2.6 Conclusion.....	84
2.7 Acknowledgments	84
2.8 Supplementary information	85
Preamble for Chapters Three, Four, and Five	91
Chapter Three - Variability in the composition of Pacific oyster microbiomes across oyster family lines exhibiting different levels of susceptibility to OsHV-1 μvar disease	93
Chapter Three - Declaration	94
3.1 Abstract.....	95
3.2 Introduction	96
3.3 Methods	98
3.3.1 Sources and sampling of <i>C. gigas</i>	98
3.3.2 DNA extraction, sequencing and bioinformatics	101
3.3.3 Core microbiome analysis	102

3.3.4 Quantitative PCR (qPCR)	103
3.3.5 Statistical analysis.....	104
3.4 Results	104
3.4.1 The <i>C. gigas</i> microbiome.....	104
3.4.3 Defining the core <i>C. gigas</i> microbiome across different resistance lines.....	113
3.5 Discussion.....	116
3.6 Conclusion.....	121
3.7 Data availability.....	121
3.8 Author contributions.....	121
3.9 Funding.....	122
3.10 Supplementary information.....	123
Chapter Four - Multiscale heterogeneity in the Pacific oyster microbiome	132
Chapter Four - Declaration.....	133
4.1 Summary.....	134
4.2 Introduction	135
4.3 Methods.....	137
4.3.1 Oyster collection sites and sampling	137
4.3.2 Extraction of DNA from different oyster tissues	140
4.3.3 Quantitative PCR (qPCR)	140
4.3.4 Oyster microbiome analysis.....	141
4.3.5 Core microbiome analysis.....	142
4.3.6 Statistical analysis.....	142
4.4 Results	143

4.4.1 Patterns in Bacterial abundance inferred from 16S rRNA qPCR	143
4.4.2 Alpha diversity comparisons	145
4.4.3 Geographic location and tissue type are significant determinants of the <i>C. gigas</i> microbiome	145
4.4.4 Conservation of the <i>C. gigas</i> core microbiome	155
4.5 Discussion	157
4.5.1 Both location and tissue-type influence the oyster microbiome assemblage	157
4.5.2 Estuary properties and their potential influence on the oyster microbiome	158
4.5.3 Oyster tissue microbiome heterogeneity	160
4.5.4 Conservation of Spirochaete ZOTUs across sampling environments and tissue types	161
4.6 Conclusions	162
4.7 Acknowledgments	163
4.8 Supplementary information	164
Chapter Five - A new high throughput sequencing assay for characterising the diversity of natural <i>Vibrio</i> communities and its application on a Pacific oyster mortality event	169
Chapter Five - Declaration	170
5.1 Abstract	171
5.2 Importance	172
5.3 Introduction	172
5.4 Methods	175
5.4.1 Primer and <i>Vibrio</i> reference dataset construction	175

5.4.2 Mock <i>Vibrio</i> community preparation	178
5.4.3 Mock community PCR conditions and sequencing	181
5.4.4 Mock community sequence analysis	182
5.4.5 Seawater collection, 16S rRNA sequencing and data analysis	182
5.4.6 Seawater <i>hsp60</i> PCR conditions, sequencing and data analysis	183
5.4.7 Quantitative PCR (qPCR)	184
5.4.8 Laboratory-induced oyster mortality event.....	185
5.4.9 Statistical analyses	186
5.5 Results and Discussion	187
5.5.1 Comparison of <i>Vibrio</i> mock community characterisation using 16S rRNA and <i>hsp60</i>	187
5.5.2 <i>Vibrio</i> diversity in seawater	194
5.5.3 <i>Vibrio</i> abundance determines assay efficacy	197
5.6 Conclusion.....	203
5.7 Acknowledgements	204
5.8 Supplementary information.....	205
Chapter Six - General discussion and future directions	209
6.1 Factors affecting the microbiome composition in <i>Crassostrea gigas</i>	211
6.2 <i>Vibrio</i> species and <i>Crassostrea gigas</i> disease dynamics.....	213
6.3 The future for using a microbiome approach	215
7.0 References	221

Acknowledgments

First and foremost, I would like to thank Maurizio Labbate. Including my honours project I have been a student of Maurizio's for nearly five complete years and I really could not have asked for a better supervisor. Maurizio has been absolutely instrumental shaping me into the scientist I am today and without his guidance and support I would not be where I am right now. In fact, without his sassy quirkiness the last few years would have been especially dull. Although I now fear I have picked up a few of his sassy traits. I especially want to point out that Maurizio has always been very welcoming of the occasional unplanned meeting where I was able to just drop by whenever I had a new curious thought. These little meetings have definitely been very important to me not just to improve my scientific learning but by also offering the opportunity to unload some thoughts anytime I needed to talk. These meetings have made me realise the importance of unscheduled meetings and I definitely plan to have the same policy with my own students one day (hopefully).

Next, I would like to thank Justin Seymour. Justin was incredibly patient as I was getting to my feet as I had come from a fairly molecular focused honours project and ventured into the realm of microbial ecology. In addition to Maurizio, Justin has been incredibly supportive over the last four years. He has always nudged me in the right direction and without him I would not have adapted so easily to a new field. One particular thing that Justin has helped me refine is my writing skills. He is an absolute machine when it comes to writing and I have definitely done my best to mould myself to his writing skills to improve my own skillset. Further, our little chats in the gym have been quite important when I needed some unscheduled guidance about navigating my scientific career or when crafting stories for my manuscripts.

Because of these gym chats, Justin's bench press hasn't improved in a few years so I'm sure he is looking forward to me leaving...

Another key figure during my PhD has been Nachshon Siboni. Anyone that knows Nachshon knows that he is legend, he is simply unforgettable! I would like to thank Nachshon for personally teaching me absolutely everything he knows about microbial ecology and I definitely would not be anywhere near as developed as a scientist without his support. Although, I don't think he remembered my name for an entire year! Nachshon's support over the last four years cannot be measured, he has always been available for chats and was always there every single time I needed help. Nachshon is also a really funny guy and can take a joke so our meetings were always full of laughs which always brightened up my days. I will definitely miss having Nachshon around when I inevitably depart on the next stage of my career.

Next, I would like to say a bulk thank you to the Labbate and Seymour laboratory members, those other PhD students that I have interacted with along the way, particularly those in the 'too many flies in the office squad', my family and all of the tech staff. While there are way too many people to individually thank, I would like to specifically thank Rami, Elizabeth, Daniela, Regan and Nathan. Nathan, it has been an absolute joy seeing you develop from the cheeky undergraduate student that I was training during his internship to the independent PhD student you are today. Rami, Elizabeth and Daniela, you've all been supporting me for pretty much my entire PhD project and without our daily gossip sessions I surely would have gotten more work done... kidding! You three, Rami especially, have definitely kept me grounded and chatting to you guys always brightened up my day. I wish you all the best with your future endeavours.

Finally, I would like to thank my partner in crime, Sarah Iwanoczko. Sarah's support during my PhD project has been absolutely invaluable, especially when I have been bogged down by work. Sarah has always helped to keep my chin up and she has nudged me through the harder parts of my PhD. Words can't describe the positive impact Sarah has had on my life and that has definitely reflected on my positive attitude throughout my PhD. No matter what happens in the future, I will always cherish Sarah's support over the last few years and without her my days wouldn't be nearly as bright. I have especially enjoyed our American adventures together and I hope there is many more worldwide adventures to come. You really are amazing Sarah, thank you!

Publications associated with this thesis

Chapter One

King, W.L., Jenkins, C., Seymour, J.R., and Labbate, M. (2019). Oyster disease in a changing environment: Decrypting the link between pathogen, microbiome and environment. *Marine Environmental Research* 143, 124-140. 10.1016/j.marenvres.2018.11.007

Chapter Two

King, W.L., Jenkins, C., Go, J., Siboni, N., Seymour, J.R., and Labbate, M. (2019). Characterisation of the Pacific Oyster Microbiome During a Summer Mortality Event. *Microbial Ecology*. 2019;77(2):502-12. 10.1007/s00248-018-1226-9

Chapter Three

King, W.L., Siboni, N., Williams, N.L.R., Kahlke, T., Nguyen, K.V., Jenkins, C., Dove, M., O'Connor, W., Seymour, J.R., and Labbate, M. (2019). Variability in the composition of Pacific Oyster microbiomes across oyster families exhibiting different levels of susceptibility to OsHV-1 μ var disease. *Frontiers in Microbiology* 10, 473. 10.3389/fmicb.2019.00473

Supporting Publication One

Green, T.J., Siboni, N., **King, W.L.**, Labbate, M., Seymour, J.R., and Raftos, D. (2018). Simulated Marine Heat Wave Alters Abundance and Structure of *Vibrio* Populations Associated with the Pacific Oyster Resulting in a Mass Mortality Event. *Microbial Ecology*. 10.1007/s00248-018-1242-9

Conference presentations associated with this thesis

William L King, Cheryl Jenkins, Jeffrey Go, Nahshon Siboni, Justin R Seymour, Maurizio Labbate. Presentation titled: Microbiome investigations in a Pacific Oyster summer mortality outbreak in Port Stephens, New South Wales, Australia. Australian Microbial Ecology (AusME) meeting.

William L King, Cheryl Jenkins, Jeffrey Go, Justin R Seymour, Maurizio Labbate. Presentation titled: The effect of microbiological and environmental factors on summer mortality events in Pacific oysters. Australian Shellfish Quality Assurance Science Day (ASQAAC).

William L King, Cheryl Jenkins, Jeffrey Go, Nachshon Siboni, Justin R Seymour, Maurizio Labbate. Presentation titled: Characterisation of the pacific oyster microbiome during a summer mortality event. 110th Annual Meeting of the National Shellfisheries Association.

William L King, Nachshon Siboni, Michael Dove, Wayne O'Connor, Cheryl Jenkins, Justin R Seymour, Maurizio Labbate. Presentation titled: Polymicrobial involvement in OsHV-1 outbreaks (and other diseases). Oysters Australia Research and Development Meeting

William L King, Cheryl Jenkins, Jeffrey Go, Nachshon Siboni, Justin R Seymour, Maurizio Labbate. Presentation titled: Elucidating links between the Pacific Oyster microbiome and summer mortality events. 4th FRDC Australasian Scientific Conference.

Maurizio Labbate, **William L King**, Khue Viet Nguyen, Nachshon Siboni, Michael Dove, Wayne O'Connor, Cheryl Jenkins, Justin R Seymour.

Presentation titled: Is there a role for microbiomes in oyster mortality events?
Australian Shellfish Quality Assurance Science Day (ASQAAC).

William L King, Nachshon Siboni, Cheryl Jenkins, Jeffrey Go, Nathan Williams, Tim Kahlke, Michael Dove, Wayne O'Connor, Maurizio Labbate, Justin R Seymour. Presentation titled: Insights into the influence of the pacific oyster microbiome in oyster disease and resistance. 17th International Symposium on Microbial Ecology, International Society for Microbial Ecology (ISME).

List of figures

Chapter One

Figure 1.1: Global cultivation of four oyster species. <i>C. gigas</i> is grown in the largest number of countries, spanning North and South America, Western Europe and Australia	11
Figure 1.2: The basic anatomy and generalised life cycle of oysters.	14
Figure 1.3: An overview of the cellular immune response	17
Figure 1.4: Ulcerated lesions on the labial palps of <i>Crassostrea gigas</i> characteristic of Denman Island Disease	24
Figure 1.5: Purified <i>Marteilia sydneyi</i> sporonts, the causative agent of QX disease of <i>Saccrostrea glomerata</i>	28
Figure 1.6: Histological section of <i>Crassostrea gigas</i> larvae with a persistent <i>Vibrio</i> infection.....	33
Figure 1.7: The interactome/synergism of oyster diseases.....	40

Chapter Two

Figure 2.1: Sampling locations and sites across the Port Stephens estuary	64
Figure 2.2: Box and whisker plot of similarity indices calculated with a Bray-Curtis dissimilarity index	71
Figure 2.3: nMDS plot showing spatial and disease state separation	73
Figure 2.4: Average abundance of Pacific Oyster microbiomes at Cromartys bay Site A.....	77
Supplementary Figure 2.1: nMDS plot showing disease state separation between <i>C. gigas</i> microbiomes at Cromartys Bay Site A	88

Supplementary Figure 2.2: nMDS plot showing spatial and disease state separation.	90
---	----

Chapter Three

Figure 3.1: Heatmap of scaled OTU relative abundance for the 30 most abundant OTUs as well as the remaining summed lowly abundant OTUs.....	106
Figure 3.2: Bubble plot of group_significance.py analysis results using the default Kruskal-Wallis parameters.....	109
Figure 3.3: Canonical correspondence analysis plot using 3% relative abundance filtered data	112
Supplementary Figure 3.1: Principal Coordinates Analysis (PCoA) of oyster microbiomes with a Bray-Curtis similarity index.	126
Supplementary Figure 3.2: The total <i>Vibrio</i> load determined with a <i>Vibrio</i> specific 16S qPCR assay.....	129
Supplementary Figure 3.3: Upset figure showing core microbes in each oyster family.....	131

Chapter Four

Figure 4.1: Sampling locations across New South Wales, Australia	139
Figure 4.2: 16S rRNA gene copy number for oysters and their tissues.	144
Figure 4.3: nMDS plots of oyster microbiomes for individual tissues across locations and for different tissues within locations	148
Figure 4.4: Summarised oyster microbiomes at the genus level, across six sampling locations and four sampled tissues	150
Figure 4.5: Presence/absence heatmap of taxa identified as the core microbiome	156

Chapter Five

Figure 5.1: nMDS analysis of the 16S rRNA, <i>Vibrio</i> -specific 16S rRNA and <i>Vibrio</i> -specific <i>hsp60</i> characterised mock communities, and the true mock community	188
Figure 5.2: Comparison of amplicon sequenced phylogenetic markers for the <i>Vibrio</i> mock communities	190
Figure 5.3: <i>Vibrio</i> diversity in seawater from Sydney Harbour ..	196
Figure 5.4: Ordinary least squares linear regression of <i>Vibrio</i> 16S rRNA gene copies and <i>hsp60</i> reads per sample	198
Figure 5.5: <i>Vibrio</i> community of <i>C. gigas</i> spat across six days and two temperature treatments	200
Supplementary Figure 5.1: Comparison of <i>hsp60</i> assigned reads of each sample to <i>Vibrio</i> -specific 16S rRNA qPCR	205
Supplementary Figure 5.2: Non-metric multidimensional scaling analysis of oyster <i>Vibrio</i> community composition	206

Chapter Six

Figure 6.1: Theoretical predictive framework of oyster disease using high-throughput sequencing data (i.e. 16S rRNA), abiotic data, host immunological response and network analysis (i.e. extended local similarity analysis).....	219
--	-----

List of tables

Chapter One

Table 1.1: Diseases of economically important oyster species, their affected life stage and the pathology seen for each disease. 19

Table 1.2: *Vibrio* pathogens of *Crassostrea gigas* and their affected life stage 32

Table 1.3: Environmental factors that influence oyster diseases. 42

Chapter Two

Table 2.1: The oyster stock source, ploidy status and cultivation method (where known) for oysters at all study sites 66

Table 2.2: Significantly elevated OTUs (Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA; $p < 0.05$) between disease states at Site A as well as their average abundance 79

Supplementary Table 2.1: Comparisons of alpha and beta diversity between disease-states and locations 85

Supplementary Table 2.2: Core microbiome of disease-affected and disease-unaffected oyster microbiomes 86

Supplementary Table 2.3: SIMPER analysis of disease-affected oyster microbiomes (northern or southern region) compared to disease-unaffected oyster microbiomes 87

Supplementary Table 2.4: SIMPER analysis of Cromartys bay Site A 89

Chapter Three

Table 3.1: Expected breeding value ranks for the studied oyster families including OsHV-1 μ var disease-resistance 100

Table 3.2: Unique core bacterial members from individual oyster families collated into their respective resistance groups (RG) 114

Supplementary Table 3.1: Information pertaining to the data analysis, including the number of reads before and after cleaning, and rarefaction at 6000 reads per sample.	123
Supplementary Table 3.2: Number of reads per sample before rarefaction.....	124
Supplementary Table 3.3: SIMPER analysis of resistance group 1 (RG1) microbiomes compared to resistance group 3 (RG3) microbiomes.	127
Supplementary Table 3.4: SIMPER analysis of resistance group 2 (RG2) microbiomes compared to resistance group 3 (RG3) microbiomes	128
Supplementary Table 3.5: Maximal information-based nonparametric exploration (MINE) analysis of expected breeding values (EBVs).....	130

Chapter Four

Table 4.1: One-way PERMANOVA pairwise comparisons between sampling locations, including the p-value and F-value	146
Table 4.2: One-way PERMANOVA pairwise comparisons between tissue types, including the p-value and F-value	146
Table 4.3: SIMPER analysis between grouped tissue types.....	151
Table 4.4: SIMPER analysis between grouped sampling locations	154
Supplementary Table 4.1: Mann-Whitney pairwise comparisons between sampling locations for bacterial loads as determined by a 16S rRNA-specific qPCR assay	164
Supplementary Table 4.2: Mann-Whitney pairwise comparisons between tissue types for bacterial loads as determined by a 16S rRNA-specific qPCR assay	165

Supplementary Table 4.3: Mann-Whitney pairwise comparisons within sampling locations for bacterial loads as determined by a 16S rRNA-specific qPCR assay.....	166
Supplementary Table 4.4: Kruskal-Wallis alpha diversity comparisons between sampling locations	167
Supplementary Table 4.5: Kruskal-Wallis alpha diversity comparisons between tissue types	168

Chapter Five

Table 5.1: Primers used in this study	177
Table 5.2: Composition of mock <i>Vibrio</i> communities generated in this study.....	180
Table 5.3: Relative abundance comparisons between the <i>Vibrio</i> -specific <i>hsp60</i> characterised mock communities and the true mock communities	193
Supplementary Table 5.1: SIMPER analysis of <i>Vibrio</i> communities between temperature treatments	207
Supplementary Table 5.2: Spearman's correlation between oyster mortality, temperature and <i>Vibrio</i> species.....	208

Abbreviations

<i>C. gigas</i>	<i>Crassostrea gigas</i>
<i>S. glomerata</i>	<i>Saccostrea glomerata</i>
<i>O. edulis</i>	<i>Ostrea edulis</i>
<i>C. virginica</i>	<i>Crassostrea virginica</i>
ROS	Reactive Oxygen Species
OsHV-1	Ostreid herpes virus 1
OsHV-1 μ var	Ostreid herpes virus 1 microvariant
<i>P. marinus</i>	<i>Perkinsus marinus</i>
<i>H. nelsoni</i>	<i>Haplosporidium nelsoni</i>
<i>M. sydneyi</i>	<i>Marteilia sydneyi</i>
<i>B. roughleyi</i>	<i>Bonamia roughleyi</i>
<i>M. refringens</i>	<i>Marteilia refringens</i>
<i>B. ostreae</i>	<i>Bonamia ostreae</i>
<i>M. mackini</i>	<i>Mikrocytos mackini</i>
<i>R. crassostreae</i>	<i>Roseovarius crassostreae</i>
<i>N. crassostreae</i>	<i>Nocardia crassostreae</i>
MSX	Multinucleate Sphere Unknown X
ROD	Roseovarius Oyster Disease
QX	Queensland Unknown
POMS	Pacific Oyster Mortality Syndrome
<i>V. tubiashii</i>	<i>Vibrio tubiashii</i>
<i>V. splendidus</i>	<i>Vibrio splendidus</i>
<i>V. alginolyticus</i>	<i>Vibrio alginolyticus</i>
<i>V. aestuarianus</i>	<i>Vibrio aestuarianus</i>
<i>V. lentus</i>	<i>Vibrio lentus</i>
<i>V. harveyi</i>	<i>Vibrio harveyi</i>
<i>V. coralliilyticus</i>	<i>Vibrio coralliilyticus</i>

<i>V. crassostreae</i>	<i>Vibrio crassostreae</i>
<i>V. angillarum</i>	<i>Vibrio angillarum</i>
<i>V. diabolicus</i>	<i>Vibrio diabolicus</i>
<i>V. mediterranei</i>	<i>Vibrio mediterranei</i>
<i>V. azureus</i>	<i>Vibrio azureus</i>
<i>V. brasiliensis</i>	<i>Vibrio brasiliensis</i>
<i>V. chagasii</i>	<i>Vibrio chagasii</i>
<i>V. fortis</i>	<i>Vibrio fortis</i>
<i>V. vulnificus</i>	<i>Vibrio vulnificus</i>
<i>V. campbellii</i>	<i>Vibrio campbellii</i>
<i>V. sinaloensis</i>	<i>Vibrio sinaloensis</i>
<i>V. cholerae</i>	<i>Vibrio cholerae</i>
<i>V. parahaemolyticus</i>	<i>Vibrio parahaemolyticus</i>
<i>V. rotiferanus</i>	<i>Vibrio rotiferanus</i>
ASI	Australian Seafood Industries
DNA	Deoxyribonucleic acid
RNA	Ribonucleic acid
rRNA	Ribosomal ribonucleic acid
OTU	Operational Taxonomic Unit
ZOTU	Zero-radius Operational Taxonomic Unit
NSW	New South Wales
DPI	Department of Primary Industries
SRA	Sequence Read Archive
QIIME	Quantitative Insights Into Microbial Ecology
nMDS	Non-metric multidimensional scaling analysis
ANOVA	Analysis of Variance
ANOSIM	Analysis of Similarities
PERMANOVA	Permutational multivariate analysis of variance

CCA	Canonical Correspondence Analysis
SIMPER	Analysis of similarity percentages
PCoA	Principal Coordinates Analysis
RDP	Ribosomal Database Project
GIT	Gastrointestinal Tract
EBV	Estimated Breeding Values
RG	Resistance Group
qPCR	Quantitative Polymerase Chain Reaction
CV	Coefficient of Variation
WGS	Whole Genome Sequencing
FISH	Fluorescence in situ hybridization
MINE	Maximal Information-based Nonparametric Exploration
CR	Clyde River
GR	Georges River
HR	Hawkesbury River
SH	Shoalhaven
PS	Port Stephens
WA	Wapengo
Mt	Mantle
Gl	Gill
Am	Adductor muscle
Dg	Digestive gland
NCBI	National Center for Biotechnology Information
<i>hsp60</i>	Heat shock protein 60
BLAST	Basic Local Alignment Search Tool
NaCl	Sodium Chloride
LB	Lysogeny Broth
nt	Nucleotide

dNTP	Deoxyribonucleotide triphosphate
μL	Microlitre
μM	Micromolar
km	Kilometre
L	Litre
bp	Base pair
mg	Milligrams
ng	Nanograms

Abstract

Oyster aquaculture represents a significant portion of both the Australian, and the global economy, with *Crassostrea gigas* (the Pacific oyster) representing the most heavily cultivated commercial species. However, infectious diseases have emerged as a major obstacle for the successful growth and sustainability of the oyster aquaculture industry. Oyster diseases are often complex, occurring as a result of disturbance in the synergistic relationship between the host, environment, and pathogen/s. Perturbations of environmental factors (e.g. temperature, salinity, nutrients, pH) can have direct influences on the oyster's immune system, and can allow for the proliferation and transmission of oyster pathogens. In particular, two major pathogens of *C. gigas*, ostreid herpesvirus 1 (OsHV-1) and *Vibrio* species, are both strongly driven by temperature. One such understudied factor that may influence oyster disease dynamics is the oyster microbiome. Studies in other model systems have shown the involvement of the microbiome in animal health, disease, and behavior. Because of this, it is likely the oyster microbiome also plays a role in oyster disease dynamics. The work presented in this thesis aimed to use a microbiome approach to provide further understanding of oyster diseases.

Thesis prelude – rationale, significance and aims

The Australian aquaculture industry is valued at \$1.31 billion AUD, representing 97,000 tonnes of production (ABARES, 2017). Of this, the oyster aquaculture industry contributes \$97 million AUD, and 11,300 tonnes of production (ABARES, 2017), making it a valuable contributor to the Australian economy. However, a major hurdle to the continued growth and sustainability of the oyster industry are infectious diseases (Lafferty et al., 2015).

Of the commercially cultivated oyster species, *Crassostrea gigas* (the Pacific oyster) is the most heavily cultivated globally (FAO, 2016a). Despite this, commercial cultivation of *C. gigas* has been continually challenged with disease outbreaks facilitated by viral, bacterial and unknown aetiological agents (Lipovsky, 1972; Paillard et al., 2004; Jenkins et al., 2013; King et al., 2019a). Current efforts to mitigate the impact of *C. gigas* diseases are focused on breeding for disease resistance (Dégremont, 2011; Dégremont et al., 2016b). This usually involves exposing oysters to disease in the field and breeding the surviving oysters (Dégremont, 2011). While breeding for disease resistance has been successful in reducing the impact of these diseases, the mechanism/s behind this protection are poorly understood.

Due to the economic importance of *C. gigas* cultivation, studies have sought to examine the causative factors driving these oyster disease outbreaks, with shifts in the environment (perturbations) often implicated as ‘triggers’ for disease (Burge et al., 2006; Malham et al., 2009; Jenkins et al., 2013; Mortensen et al., 2016; Go et al., 2017). These oyster diseases are complex, often preceding from a disturbance in the synergistic relationship between the host, environment, and pathogen. For example, shifts in environmental

conditions (such as increasing temperature) can drive oyster pathogen transmission and abundance (Petton et al., 2013), while also acting as an immune suppressant to the oyster, as they near their thermal limits (Bougrier et al., 1995). One such host associated factor that may be contributing to oyster disease dynamics is the oyster microbiome.

In recent years, the oyster microbiome has drawn an increasing amount of attention to determine its role in oyster disease dynamics (Lokmer and Wegner, 2015; Petton et al., 2015; Green et al., 2019; King et al., 2019a; King et al., 2019b; King et al., 2019c). However, at the onset of this PhD project, most disease-focused microbiome studies had been culture dependent (Garnier et al., 2007; Petton et al., 2013; Wendling et al., 2014; Lemire et al., 2015; Petton et al., 2015), with only one study employing culture-independent sequencing techniques (Lokmer and Wegner, 2015). Because of this and because of considerable *C. gigas* disease outbreaks in Australia in previous years (Jenkins et al., 2013; Go et al., 2017), this PhD project set out to gain further insight into the oyster microbiome.

This thesis has set out to address five aims, with the overarching goal to provide an improved understanding of oyster diseases using a microbiome approach. Ultimately, the information provided in this thesis will set the framework for future studies by identifying potential probiotic targets in the oyster microbiome and providing new microbiome approaches to oyster diseases for future disease-focused observational studies.

The below aims correspond to chapters one to five accordingly:

Aim one: To provide a critical review of oyster diseases, with an emphasis on the environmental drivers and the potential role of the microbiome.

Aim two: To use a microbiome approach to investigate a *C. gigas* disease outbreak in Port Stephens.

Aim three: To elucidate how breeding *C. gigas* for disease resistance influences microbiome composition and to identify bacteria associated with disease resistance.

Aim four: To determine microbiome patterns across geographic locations and tissue-types and identify core taxa innately tied to the *C. gigas* microbiome.

Aim five: To develop an amplicon sequencing assay for improved taxonomic resolution of the *Vibrio* community and to apply it to a laboratory *C. gigas* disease event.